

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

COMPANY APPLICATION (L) NO. 132 OF 2016

IN

COMPANY PETITION NO. 949 OF 2014

Chengeri R Badrinath And Others

...Applicants.

Versus

Mantri Realty Limited

Respondent(s)

WITH

COMPANY APPLICATION ILVINO. 271 OF 2016

M

COMPANY PETITION NO. 949 OF 2014

Sudeep Sangameswaran

...Applicant.

Versus

Mantri Realty Ltd. And Another

...Respondent(s)

WITH

COMPANY APPLICATION (L) NO. 424 OF 2016

IN

COMPANY PETITION NO. 949 OF 2014

Shanta W/o D. Lakkanna

...Applicants.

Versus

Mantri Realty Limited

...Respondent(s)

Mr.Arpit Singhvi i/b Wadia Ghandy & Co. for the Applicants in CAL No.132/2016,

1

Mr. Anil Agarwal with Mr.Rohit Sharma i/b Siddharth Murarka for the Petitioner in CP No.949/2014.

Mr. Naushad Engineer with Mr.Bhavin Gada and Mr.Kalpesh Mehta i/b Pravin Mehta and Mithi & Co. for the Applicants in CAL No.424/2016.

Mr. Sanjaya Varma, Asst. O.L present.

CORAM

A. K. MENON, J

DATED:

25th August, 2016

PC.-

The counsel for all parties who are present today, state that vide order dated 10th August, 2016, the court had given liberty to the parties to apply to have the matter treated as part heard before G.S. Patel, J. Accordingly, it is submitted across the bar today that majority of the petitioners and the respondent-company have through their Advocates applied to the Registry for having the matter treated as part heard before G.S. Patel, J.

Accordingly, stand over to 1st September, 2016 for further

directions.

(A. K. MENON,J.)